

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Armest of MMIssir Jude of Armest sale in Munification
white group is the control of the Management of Management of the Comments of the Management of the Comments of the Management of t

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO	
09 806,635	06 04 2001	Carola Dony	HUBR- 1186 (10102735)	3339	
249"2	2590 (1.18.2002				
	Γ& JAWORSKI, LLP	EXAMINER			
666 FIFTH AV NEW YORK,			ANDRES, JANET L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646		
			DATE MAILED: 11-18-2002	67	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
•		09/806,63	09/806,635 DONY ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	!				
		Janet L Ar		1646					
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress				
Period fo	• •								
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failure - Ar y rearned	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC isions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stative to reply within the set or extended period for reply weply received by the Office later than three months after dispatch term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	CATION. If 37 CFR 1 136(a) In no even inication in the state in the s	ent, however, may a re; utory minimum of thirty It expire SIX (6) MONT lication to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U S C § 133)					
Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file		<i>c</i>						
2a)		b) This action is							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,	·					
4)[∑	Claim(s) 18-34 is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 18-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 									
Attachment	(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			immary (PTO-413) Paper No ormal Patent Application (PT					
S. Painni and Tr	adaman Office								

Application Control Number: 09 806,635

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 18-29, drawn to combinations of MIA with matrices and osteoinductive agents and methods of administering them.

Group II, claim(s) 30-32, drawn to methods for gene therapy.

MIA, BMP-2, BMP-7, hedgehog proteins, and biocompatible matrices are all known in the art, as Applicant indicates in the specification, and do not constitute linking technical features. Thus there is no single general concept linking the two inventions. Group I is drawn to protein compositions and methods of administering them, while group II is drawn to methods of generating and administering expression vector. Thus the reagents and method steps required are different.

Clarification of what is intended to be claimed in claims 33 and 34 and identification of the group to which these claims belong is requested. Part of claim 33 has been omitted and the nature of the invention is unclear. Part of claim 30 has been similarly omitted but the claim has been interpreted as drawn to methods of manufacture and use of expression vectors.

This application also contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species of co-administered agent:

a) biocompatible matrix

- b) BMP-2
- c) BMP-7
- d) hedgehog protein
- e) matrix + BMP-2
- f) matrix + BMP-7
- g) matrix + hedghehog protein

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

- a) 18, 23, 24
- b) 19-22, 30, 31, to the extent that they read on BMP-2
- c) 19-22, 30, 31, to the extent that they read on BMP-7
- d) 19-22, 30, 31, to the extent that they read on hedgehog proteins
- e) 25-29, 32, to the extent that they read on BMP-2

Application Control Number: 09 806,635

Art Unit: 1646

f) 25-29, 32, to the extent that they read on BMP-7

g) 25-29, 32, to the extent that they read on hedgehog proteins

No claim is generic. As stated above, the Examiner is unable to interpret claims 33 and 34 and clarification is requested.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As stated above, each of the agents to be administered is known in the art. Thus there is no linking special technical feature. Further, each of these combinations involves different molecules with different structural and functional characteristics, requiring different searches and different considerations.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9307 for after final communications.

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler(a uspto.gov].

Application Control Number: 09 806,635 Page 5
Art Unit: 1646

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D.

Parent Examiner

November 17, 2002